

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

Dr Şebnem Deniz ONAT

St. Clements University/Department of Psychology Lecturer/UK

ORCID ID: 0009-0001-3083-7886

ABSTRACT: Mourning emerges in various forms and depths as an inevitable phenomenon within the life cycle of societies. This process encompasses the shaping of not only individuals' but also communities' deep emotional and cultural structures. This complex and multifaceted process transcends a mere personal response, transforming into a collective experience that is articulated through social norms, rituals and language. The construction of mourning in Turkish society has been shaped by traditional practices and beliefs that have existed throughout history; it constitutes not only a part of social memory but also a vital and indispensable expression of cultural identity. Mourning rituals, forms of paying respect to the deceased, and the ways in which memories are represented play an extremely critical role in the construction of social memory that has continued across generations.

KEYWORDS: Life cycle, deep emotional and cultural structures, social norms, rituals, and the shaping of identity through language and beliefs, social memory

INTRODUCTION

The cultural construction of mourning is not limited to serving merely as an emotional indicator; beyond this, it facilitates the making sense of loss through its capacity to strengthen social solidarity, and in this context, it holds vital importance for both individuals and societies in social life, as well as in cultural transmission. Within this complex and wide-ranging process, the language and symbols we employ aim to alleviate the shared grief not only of individuals experiencing loss but also of the wider community, to help individuals cope with the profound emotions they feel, and, at the same time, play an extremely critical role in reinforcing the shared identity of the people of Anatolia. When considered specifically within the context of Turkish society, mourning practices and belief systems not only ensure the continuity of both the cultural values and social norms of communities and individuals, but also provide a robust mechanism for the reconstruction of life following loss and trauma. Consequently, the cultural construction of mourning enhances solidarity at both the individual and societal levels; this process continues to hold significance as one of the cornerstones of healing and rebirth. These processes, which evolve in tandem with changing social dynamics over time, continually deepen communities' identities and cultural heritage whilst fostering a unique form of social progress; at the same time, they achieve significant gains by strengthening social bonds. Mourning not only sheds light on individuals' grief, helping them to better understand their feelings and losses, but also emerges as a significant conceptual dimension that strengthens social bonds and enhances the cohesion of the community.

This complex and multifaceted situation is constantly shaped by the social context and cultural elements within which individuals live, and it reconstructs social memory through these criteria. Consequently, the significance of the mourning process encompasses numerous dimensions that profoundly influence human existence—from rural to urban settings, and from the past to the present—and whilst these elements carry distinct and profound meanings in their own right, they leave lasting effects on social memory.

It emerges as a profound and meaningful process that not only strongly reinforces social memory but also enables individuals to better understand their own inner journeys. The complex process underlying these significant phenomena comes to light not only as an expression of the losses individuals experience but also as a reflection of the social dynamics at play and the responses exhibited by communities; thus, grief manifests itself as a natural part of individuals' daily lives. The various dimensions of grief are understood more comprehensively in conjunction with the losses experienced by individuals; this, in turn, deepens their grieving processes, bringing to light the emotional and psychological effects within them. Grief, which maintains its existence through a continuous process of reproduction via individual and social belief systems, not only paves the way for significant changes in individuals' emotional structures but also triggers the evolution of social structures, contributing to processes of change both horizontally and vertically. For these reasons, the cultural construction of grief emerges not merely as a process of encounter, but as a complex phenomenon that encompasses social integration and the healing journey of those who have been wounded.

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

Whilst each individual weaves their own story across the different layers of this process, they simultaneously bear the weight of their personal emotional experiences and the burdens imposed by the historical context of society, thereby becoming part of an interaction between the individual and society. Whilst these processes enrich individuals' experiences of grief, they also aim to reconstruct social identity; consequently, by forging strong bonds between individuals and communities, they foster a culturally rich environment, strengthen social solidarity and, ultimately, deepen social relationships. In this process, where the pain experienced is commemorated through a shared common ground, each individual lays bare their own personal sorrows and societal wounds more openly; they come together to heal these wounds, and through this unity, a culture of solidarity develops. Consequently, social memory and individual mourning processes form a dynamic that nourishes and strengthens one another, thereby making social reality more meaningful and profound; this, in turn, constitutes a significant part of individuals' journey of coexistence.

The Historical and Cultural Context of the Concepts of Death, Loss and Trauma

The importance of historical and cultural contexts plays an extremely decisive role, particularly in understanding the effects of death, loss and trauma on the identity and daily practices of societies. In Turkish society, death rituals and mourning practices have been visibly shaped by deep-rooted cultural norms and profound religious beliefs. Throughout history, under different periods and social conditions, these rituals and practices have evolved over time; this evolution has taken different forms across various segments of society. Whilst traditional ceremonies during the Ottoman period stood out as an integral part of social life, the subsequent Republican era, with its efforts at modernisation, profoundly influenced the social perception and practices surrounding the concept of mourning. These social and cultural processes are directly linked to the socio-political structures of the time and involve interrelated dimensions. Furthermore, cultural memory and social narratives emerge as key elements that enable loss and trauma to take root in the collective memory. Loss, particularly during wars, forced migrations and internal conflicts, stands out as a significant and defining experience that shapes social identity and solidarity.

Every instance of loss leaves deep traces in the memory of individuals and societies and forms the foundation of social identity. Trauma is not merely confined to individuals; it is also a complex phenomenon transmitted across generations at the societal level and intertwined with cultural values. In this context, the importance of mourning and its cultural construction emerges as fundamental dynamics that shape processes of social resilience, memory and solidarity. These social phenomena form a unique and multi-dimensional network of relationships, carrying elements from the past into the present. These processes have been passed down from generation to generation through oral narratives, rituals and various cultural practices. In this way, the reproduction of social trauma is prevented, and efforts are made to strengthen social bonds. This deep historical and cultural foundation provides a critical basis for understanding the meaning that Turkish society ascribes to experiences of death and loss, and how this meaning shapes mourning practices. When these factors come together, Turkish society's culture of death and mourning forms a reality that is constantly reshaped within individual and social contexts, existing within a profound interplay. These dynamic structures occupy a valuable place in the collective memory of society, continuing to trace the traces of all traumatic events experienced and serving as symbols of social solidarity and the construction of memory. By holding everything together within a framework imbued with the traces of the past, they play a significant role in the functioning of social memory. All these elements reveal not only the individual emotional states within societies' relationship with death, but also how social norms and structures are shaped. These complex interactions form a multi-dimensional project of experience—one in which people live, feel and understand—at the intersection of the past and the present.

This cultural heritage, rooted in the depths of social history, constitutes a treasure trove that must be taken into account when interpreting every social event. For these reasons, processes relating to mourning and loss become a shared experience not only for individuals but also for communities. Social relationships that change over time and intergenerational fluidity function as a dynamic part of this process, illustrating how such events are embedded in the collective memory of society. In this context, the commemoration of loss and mourning is not merely an individual process but also resonates at the level of social consciousness. These interactions become part of a society's very identity and are shaped collectively throughout its social history. For these reasons, death, loss and mourning manifest themselves as a multi-layered strategy encompassing a complex web of relationships that nourish and deepen society. In the face of such events and cycles, individuals may feel powerless. Social relationships that change over time and intergenerational fluidity are seen as a dynamic part of this process.

The Psychosocial Effects of Loss and Trauma

The psychosocial effects of loss and trauma present themselves as a highly complex and multidimensional process capable of leaving deep and lasting marks on the mental health of both individuals and societies. This represents a particularly challenging, profoundly painful and traumatic reality that societies and individuals encounter in their lives. The experience of loss—particularly the loss of a loved one or a significant figure in one's life—creates a profound emotional turmoil in individuals, characterised by intense grief, a deep sense of loneliness, a refusal to accept the loss, denial, and a subsequent, ongoing search for meaning. Individuals may at times face immense difficulties in expressing their emotional responses to their loss and in coping with these dark and intense emotions; this situation can create deep psychological pressures and internal conflicts within the individual. The progression of this

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

process can negatively affect a person's sense of self and zest for life; over time, the individual is forced to develop various coping mechanisms to manage their emotional state. Trauma, on the other hand, presents itself as a clear and recognisable sign of psychological injury caused by sudden and unexpected events; as a result, individuals' daily lives are seriously and negatively affected.

Such traumatic events deeply undermine individuals' sense of security and can lead to intense emotional fluctuations such as anxiety, fear, anger and helplessness. Furthermore, when trauma becomes widespread at a societal level, it leaves deep scars on the collective consciousness, adversely affecting the general psychosocial structure of society and placing individuals' mental health under serious threat. In these complex and extremely challenging processes, issues such as the breakdown of interpersonal relationships, increased tension within families and a decline in social solidarity frequently arise. Such situations not only drive people apart but can also lead to the weakening of social bonds and a decline in social cohesion. Traumatic experiences can present a very serious challenge that tests individuals' psychological resilience. This process highlights how the profound trauma experienced in the wake of loss can pave the way for persistent mental health issues in individuals. At this point, the resilience mechanisms and bonding strategies that societies develop in the face of crises are of great importance in preserving social cohesion. The presence of social support networks, the strength of family structures and the effectiveness of cultural rituals play an extremely decisive role in individuals' recovery processes. In order to mitigate the effects of loss and trauma and to strengthen social solidarity, the implementation of appropriate psychosocial interventions and awareness-raising initiatives has become an absolute necessity.

In this context, it can be said that cultural practices and local narratives provide extremely important tools for the continuity of social memory. For both individuals and groups, these tools facilitate the transmission of cultural heritage whilst also playing a critical role in the processes by which individuals and communities overcome shared challenges. In addition to these tools, it is clearly evident that they also make significant contributions to collective healing processes. By demonstrating the will to act collectively to erase the traces of past losses and traumas and to confront these traumas in a healthy manner, communities can seize the opportunity to lay more solid legal and social foundations for healing. It is thus evident that they gain the ability to look to the future with greater hope and strength. It must not be forgotten that, in such complex and challenging processes, solidarity and unity amongst individuals and communities, together with the activation of specialised support mechanisms, play an extremely important role in alleviating the suffering experienced and in strengthening social solidarity.

This situation directly influences the success of efforts to mitigate the effects of loss and trauma; in doing so, it strengthens social bonds and enhances the sense of connection between individuals and groups. Furthermore, the reinforcement of cultural practices and social interactions makes a significant contribution to the renewal of social bonds and solidarity, thereby having the potential to positively influence the general well-being of society. Within these processes, it can be said that the ways in which individuals express themselves and the stories they tell play a significant role in the process of overcoming the traumas of the past. For it is not only individuals but also the whole of society that, through this process of solidarity and shared memory, gains the opportunity to take a step towards a more secure future. Strengthening the spirit of social solidarity and coming to terms with the past emerges as one of the most valuable steps that not only individuals but also entire communities can take on the path to healing. Such a process affects not only individuals but also the social fabric, enabling everyone to build a better life together. Furthermore, by strengthening social memory, individuals and communities gain the opportunity to pass on the painful experiences of the past and to carry out educational and awareness-raising activities aimed at preventing the recurrence of such traumas.

In this way, shared cultural experiences and memories are reshaped with the aim of creating a more resilient and healthy social structure for future generations. Consequently, the importance of culture and local narratives is demonstrated not only through scenarios of remembrance and commemoration, but also by becoming an interactive part of the social fabric. The way in which these dynamics come together in processes of healing and solidarity is, in fact, an indication that societies can take steps towards a strong and resilient future. This is reinforced by the sharing of individuals' experiences and stories within the social fabric; by increasing dialogue and interaction between groups, it helps to strengthen a shared memory and emotional bond. As a result, it becomes possible for the spirit of social solidarity to rise further and for individuals to develop a more future-oriented perspective. This is achieved as a result of the experiences of individuals within the social fabric.

Social Solidarity and Social Memory

Social solidarity can be described as the process by which individuals support one another in the face of profoundly difficult and challenging issues—such as shared suffering, loss and trauma—that they encounter throughout their lives. This kind of support gains profound meaning when combined with efforts to strengthen the bonds between them, and plays a significant role in bringing individuals together. This solidarity forms a stronger structure through individuals coming together, whilst also becoming a fundamental element in the construction of collective memory. Making sense of the events that societies have experienced throughout history helps to alleviate the emotional burden on individuals and plays a vital role in passing on these past experiences to future generations. Death, loss and their impact on individuals' lives are not confined solely to personal experiences; they also function as defining elements that shape the collective memory of society. Rituals, commemorative processes, memorial events and

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

various symbolic practices embedded in language usage ensure that a society's shared sorrows from the past are shared most effectively amongst individuals. In this way, whilst leaving a lasting imprint on social memory, collective identity and a sense of belonging are reinforced; this process also makes a positive contribution to the strengthening of social bonds.

At the same time, the cultural transformations and new narratives experienced in the post-traumatic period present opportunities for rebuilding society and lay the groundwork for the diversification of solidarity mechanisms. Within this broader framework, social memory aims to facilitate coming to terms with the past whilst sustainably preserving shared values and social cohesion. For this reason, the social solidarity that emerges during processes of mourning and loss should be regarded as one of the fundamental tools for achieving both individual and collective healing and adaptation. In this context, the solidarity networks formed and the shared stories play a crucial role in helping the group overcome traumatic experiences, move beyond these sorrows, and keep the collective memory alive. To summarise, communities' practices of solidarity play a critical role in coming together to stand united against shared suffering and in the healing process, whilst also making a significant contribution to strengthening the elements, values and bonds that unite communities. Furthermore, another important aspect of social solidarity is that it does not merely focus on the past, but also carries hope for the future and a desire for healing. In this context, as well as coming to terms with the losses suffered, communities aim to leave behind more resilient and harmonious living environments for future generations through these processes. Processes of social solidarity also serve to hold individuals together, uniting them around a shared identity and purpose to create a new beginning for societies. Such practices of solidarity are not merely a reaction to current challenges; they are part of a framework that supports social development and generates solutions aimed at turning moments of crisis into opportunities.

Consequently, the implementation and strengthening of these processes stand out as a crucial element not only in understanding the present but also in shaping a sustainable vision for the future of society. It is for this reason that social solidarity must continue to exist as a constantly vibrant and dynamic process, serving as a critical tool for fostering social cohesion and solidarity by deepening interactions between individuals, groups and communities. In this way, social solidarity contributes to further strengthening the relationships between individuals and groups, and enables everyone to come together in a healthier, more productive and meaningful way on the path to achieving common goals. These practices of solidarity, which hold promise for the future, also form the cornerstones of the social structure and help to build a framework flexible enough to respond to the evolving needs of a changing social structure.

Dynamics Between Family, Society and Institutional Mechanisms

As complex, dynamic and interactive elements that form the foundations of the social structure, the family, society and institutional mechanisms play a crucial role in shaping the grieving process. These three fundamental dimensions encompass a wide range of factors and circumstances that influence the complex nature of the grieving process. The family serves a function that goes far beyond merely being the primary source of support for an individual during the grieving process, particularly in situations where the effects of death, loss and trauma are felt most acutely. Furthermore, by providing emotional and cultural meaning, the family becomes a critical factor in determining the path individuals take through their grieving processes. The impact of family dynamics, particularly communication styles, on individuals' grieving processes is of considerable importance. The complexity of relationships amongst family members has a profound effect on the various emotional fluctuations experienced during this grieving process, whilst the presence of traditional rituals and shared beliefs are among the elements that enrich this process. For example, discussions amongst family members, shared memories and memorial ceremonies serve to reinforce the psychological dimension of the loss, bringing to the fore elements that strengthen solidarity amongst family members and alleviating the emotional burden felt by the individual during this process. The concept of community imbues the experiences of individuals participating in the grieving process with profound meaning, transforming this process into a more collective endeavour. Memorial ceremonies conducted through shared memory and cultural codes, alongside monuments displayed in public spaces, keep these special acts of remembrance alive, thereby enhancing the significance of these losses within the collective memory. Contributions to such commemorations also serve to foster environmental awareness and, by leaving a lasting imprint on the psychological fabric of society, help to more firmly establish the place of those in mourning within the community. Consequently, the memory of loss has a significant impact on individuals' emotional state, whilst the shared experiences during the grieving process play a major role in shaping their emotional state, and the pain arising from these losses becomes more meaningful. Institutional mechanisms, in this context, carefully shape the experience of mourning through the various opportunities provided within the framework of legal regulations and laws offered by the state, alongside the different rituals offered by religious institutions and the active participation of civil society organisations. By taking into account the various dimensions of the grieving process, these mechanisms offer opportunities for individuals to understand their experiences in a more systematic manner; in this way, they contribute to the deepening and enrichment of the grieving process through the establishment of diverse support mechanisms. It is precisely this interaction between these different mechanisms that enables the strengthening of individuals' bonds with others, whilst also facilitating the deepening of elements of social cohesion and solidarity. It is evident that family, community and institutional mechanisms are key dynamics that interact and form relationships with one another, with the aim of alleviating the grieving process and the psychological effects of loss, preserving collective memory

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

and strengthening social solidarity. The cohesion within this process enhances social integration, consolidating the distinct place of trauma within society, helping individuals to develop a deeper understanding of the meaning of their grief, and providing them with effective support. Consequently, this complex structure plays a significant role not only for individuals but also at the societal level, supporting social development and making this process stronger and more meaningful. All these interactions underscore the fact that the grieving process is a multifaceted experience encompassing not only individuals but also society as a whole. This process enables individuals to reassess the meaning of their own deaths and losses, thereby helping them to cope more effectively with a challenging experience that profoundly affects both themselves and society.

This complex interplay between family members and other social dynamics is not confined solely to individual bereavement processes; it also paves the way for a collective healing process by fostering a broader social memory and strengthening solidarity. This situation holds a crucial place in better understanding the grieving processes that profoundly affect the whole of society and in addressing the blended, enriched and deepened experiences resulting from these processes. During these periods when social solidarity is strongly reinforced, the process of sharing the pain experienced together comes to the fore, as the bonds formed between individuals are further strengthened. This process plays a significant role by making a substantial contribution to the improvement and strengthening of the general mood of society. At the same time, the emotional bonds formed between individuals deepen further through the shared experience of grief. This situation creates a sphere of interaction that will have lasting effects not only on individuals' experiences of mourning but also on the general mood and social dynamics of society.

Consequently, such interactions go beyond individual experiences of mourning; they help foster a deeper understanding of society and contribute to positive changes in people's mental well-being. Furthermore, this process has become a key factor in fostering social harmony and a spirit of solidarity, whilst further strengthening interpersonal relationships. In this context, the grieving process is not merely a personal experience but also becomes a shared experience for the community; this, in turn, renews the bonds between individuals and fosters intergenerational connections. Shared memories, shared sorrows and efforts to support one another reinforce social identity whilst also enhancing the spirit of solidarity amongst people. The shared experience of grief becomes a profound example of interaction that reshapes not only individuals' grieving processes but also the social structure and dynamics of society. People sharing similar experiences enables them to feel closer to one another and strengthens their social bonds. Such experiences facilitate not only personal healing but also social healing, paving the way for new beginnings and a future full of hope. The state's political structure emerges as the most important mechanism determining legislative processes and guiding their implementation. For example, authoritarian or democratic forms of government create different dynamics in terms of the content of laws, the manner of their implementation and their social acceptance. In democratic societies, participation and transparency take centre stage in law-making, and the reflection of collective memory and cultural elements in legislation is strengthened. In contrast, authoritarian regimes generally adopt approaches in their legislative frameworks that reinforce the state's sole authority and emphasise traditional and national values; this, in turn, influences how laws are perceived and implemented within society.

CONCLUSION

The social and cultural dynamics of the legal process are undergoing extremely significant and profound transformations, influenced by the effects of modernisation and globalisation; these transformations not only affect individuals' lives but also fundamentally reshape the overall structure of societies. This situation further complicates the already complex nature of mourning, whilst significantly influencing how different social groups perceive and experience it. In this process, where traditional methods are being replaced by new norms shaped by modern influences, the emergence of mourning and individuals' responses to it are becoming increasingly diverse, finding expression across a broad spectrum amongst different groups. With the widespread adoption of digitalisation and the media across all spheres, experiences of mourning—unlike the traditional rituals of the past—are now manifesting through new and innovative forms of communication and symbols; this is bringing about profound changes in social perceptions, and individuals' perceptions, values and attitudes towards death and loss are undeniably changing and evolving.

The practice of mourning, exhibiting distinct differences across generations during times of loss, is developing new norms and forms of interaction for both individuals and communities, whilst laying the groundwork for new social dynamics through the benefits provided by technology. Here, research into how grief is experienced among individuals from different cultural backgrounds enables a better understanding of this highly complex phenomenon, thereby deepening our understanding of the social experience. Furthermore, the opportunities and possibilities offered by technology provide significant support for individuals to preserve and share their memories, recollections and the memory of their loved ones in digital environments; this process makes a major contribution to the continuity and depth of social memory, as seen at , thereby helping to strengthen social memory. Sharing in the digital environment not only introduces new dynamics and methods into the process of memory and collective memory formation but also ensures the active participation of individuals in these processes. Consequently, this facilitates the strengthening of social bonds and solidarity networks, thereby helping interpersonal relationships to develop on a healthier foundation. Furthermore, the emergence of new rituals and the increased use of media can either replace traditional mourning ceremonies or, whilst integrating with them to strengthen processes of social solidarity, in some cases may also lead to the weakening of these processes. For example,

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

conducting mourning processes via social media platforms offers participants a broader and more comprehensive space for interaction and can create new opportunities for many people to reconstruct their mourning process. Within this complex process, the evolution of cultural codes and ethical norms significantly shapes and influences both the social perception of mourning and its broader psychosocial effects.

Consequently, alongside changing social dynamics, the concept of mourning gives rise to the need for individuals to re-examine their emotional states and forms of social interaction. To summarise briefly, technological and cultural transformations continue to have multidimensional and complex effects on the practice of mourning and social solidarity. A detailed and holistic assessment of developments in this field is of great importance for the planning of effective support mechanisms and adaptation processes at both the individual and societal levels. Consequently, reflecting on how experiences of grief have evolved within society, the new ways in which they are expressed, and the consequences of this, is essential for ensuring that future grieving processes become healthier. For this reason, taking into account both the social dimensions of grief and individual experiences, and examining them from different perspectives, can strengthen the effectiveness of future coping and support mechanisms. Consequently, it should be borne in mind that this not only contributes to individuals navigating this challenging process more effectively but can also have positive effects on social relationships, emotional recovery processes and general standards of living. In this regard, given the highly interactive and multi-layered nature of the grieving process, drawing conclusions about individuals' emotional experiences and the profound changes affecting society is of great importance not only for contributing to progress at both the individual and societal levels, but also for the recognition and in-depth understanding of this process. This plays a crucial role in how people process their losses and how they develop during this process. The grieving process is a phenomenon that encompasses not only individuals' own experiences but also shared social experiences. In this context, consideration must be given to how group dynamics, social support and environmental factors influence and shape the personal grieving process.

The emotional fluctuations experienced by individuals are directly linked to how grief is perceived and processed within society. Consequently, the study of the grieving process offers opportunities to uncover and better understand the multifaceted interactions between social norms, individual beliefs and cultural differences. For this reason, understanding grief has become an important area of study for social workers, psychologists and researchers. The stages of people's grieving processes can be viewed not only as a personal journey but also as a social experience. As every individual is a participant in this process, along with their own emotional responses, an in-depth examination of the grieving process offers a broader perspective on how individuals discover their own identities and how the experience of grief develops within society as a whole. Consequently, exploring the various dimensions and depths of grief is of critical importance in understanding how social support mechanisms function, particularly for individuals going through difficult times. In this context, the importance of social solidarity and mutual aid should not be overlooked. In other words, just as the impact of the grieving process on individuals must be carefully assessed, so too must its societal implications.

REFERENCES

- 1) Bonanno GA, Kaltman S (2001) The varieties of grief experience. *Clin Psychol Rev*, 21:705–734. Bowlby J (1960) Grief and mourning in infancy and early childhood. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 15:9–52.
- 2) Bowlby J (1980) *Attachment and Loss: Loss, Sadness and Depression* (vol. III). New York, Basic Books. Brooke SL, Miraglia DA (2015) *Using Creative Therapies to Cope with Grief and Loss*. Springfield, IL, Charles C Thomas Publishers.
- 3) Cummings K (2015) *Coming to grips with loss: normalising the grief process*. Rotterdam, Sense Publishers.
- 4) Çelik S, Sayıl I (2003) A new approach to the concept of pathological grief: traumatic grief. *Kriz Journal*, 11(2):29–34.
- 5) Dyregrov A (2008) *Grief in Young Children: A Handbook for Adults*, Second Edition, Philadelphia, Jessica Kingsley Publishers
- 6) Freud S (1917) Mourning and melancholia. *Collected Papers*, vol. IV: 152–170.
- 7) Galatzer-Levy I, Bonanno GA (2012) Beyond normality in the study of bereavement: heterogeneity in depression outcomes following loss in older adults. *Soc Sci Med*, 74:1987–1994.
- 8) Gizir CA (2006) A grief counselling model for university students experiencing difficulties following a loss. *Journal of the Faculty of Education, Mersin University*, 2:195–213.
- 9) Gorman LM, Sultan DF (2008) The grieving patient. In *Psychosocial Nursing for General Patient Care*, 3rd Edition (Eds LM Gorman, DF Sultan):129–140.
- 10) Philadelphia: FA Davis. Kara E (2016) The grieving process and religious counselling. *Journal of the Faculty of Theology, Dokuz Eylül University, Special Issue*: 251–270. Kübler-Ross E, Kessler D (2014) *On Grief and Grieving: Finding the Meaning of Grief Through the Five Stages of Loss*. New York: Scribner.
- 11) Küçükkaya PG (2009) Loss and the grieving process. *Journal of Education and Research in Nursing*, 6:8–13. Lindemann E (1944) Symptomatology and management of acute grief. *Am J Psychiatry*, 101:141–148.

The Cultural Construction of Mourning and the Psychosocial Dynamics of Social Solidarity in the Face of Death, Loss and Trauma in Turkish Society

- 12) Logsdon MC, Davis DW (2003) Social and professional support for pregnant and parenting women. *MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs*, 28:371–376.
- 13) Lotterman JH, Bonanno GA, Galatzer-Levy I (2014) The heterogeneity of long-term grief reactions. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 167:12–19. McCoyd LMJ, Walter CA (2016) *Grief and Loss Across the Lifespan: A Biopsychosocial Perspective*, Second Edition. New York, Springer Publishing.
- 14) Oltjenbruns KA (2001) The developmental context of childhood: Grief and regrief phenomena. In *Handbook of Bereavement Research* (Eds MS Stroebe, RO Hansson, W Stroebe, H Schut). Washington DC, American Psychological Association. Ringdal GI, Jordoy MS, Ringdal
- 15) K, Kaasa S (2001) Factors affecting grief reactions in close family members of individuals who have died of cancer. *J Pain Symptom Manage*, 22:1016–1026.
- 16) Rosenblatt PC (2008) Grief across cultures: A review and research agenda. In *Handbook of Bereavement Research and Practice: Advances in Theory and Intervention* (Eds. Stroebe MS, Hansson HC, Stroebe W):207–222.
- 17) Washington DC, American Psychological Association.
- 18) Sezgin U, Yüksel Ş, Topçu Z, Disçigil AG (2004) When is a diagnosis of traumatic grief made? When does treatment begin? *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 7:167–175. T
- 19) urner K (2009) Mindfulness: The present moment in clinical social work. *Clin Soc Work J*, 37:95–103.